

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/720,966	11/24/2003	Tsing-Tang Song	250913-1030	5221	
24504 7590 04/16/2007 THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			EXAMINER		
			CHU, JOHN S Y		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ATLANTA, O	A 30337-3740	1752			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	•	04/16/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/
~
ν

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/720,966	SONG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
John S. Chu ,	1752		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	<u> </u>						
Borord the Filling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	John S. Chu ,	1752					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee							
under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	nally set in the final Office of the final rejection, e	ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); They raise not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 							
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a			ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	occa ciaims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Discrete The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Col	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	be entered and an e	xplanation of				
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,6-11 and 14-19.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•						
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	I sufficient reasons why the affidavi	t or other evidence is	necessary and				
D. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all reiections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after en	try is below or attach	ed.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 		condition for allowan	ce because:				
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)						
3. Other:		John S. Chu Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1752					
		ALCOHIL 1702	•				

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejection is repeated wherein the setting adhesion-imparting agent and the photoacid generator are seen as a functionally equivalent in their use in photoresist compositions. Applicants argument have been noted however the claimed acid value and the acid value disclosed in NOJIMA et al overlap thus, the prior art would be seen to have enough COOH groups to react with the epoxy compounds as indicated by the claimed invention. Further applicants are directed to col. 14, I. 45-50 wherien NOJIMA et al states that the setting agent is necessary when reacting particular epoxy groups with the resin by ring opening polymerization. This is contrary to applicant's arguments as stated on p. 9 wherein "...setting adhesion-imparting agent in Nojima does not serve as a cationic polymerization catalyst. Secondly, compounds and their properties are inseparable, so the presence of an onium salt in a resist composition with an epoxy compound will always catalyze the cationically polymerization reaction or catalyze a crosslinking reaction when exposed to UV light in the presence of a monomeric epoxy or a -COOH containing resin, respectively. The rejection is repeated